UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,519	02/24/2004	Michael D. O'Hara	CRD-5064	8314
²⁷⁷⁷⁷ PHILIP S. JOH	7590 10/23/2007 NSON		EXAMINER	
JOHNSON & J	OHNSON	NEAL, TIMOTHY J		
	N & JOHNSON PLAZ. VICK, NJ 08933-7003	A.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	,			PR
•	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/785,519	O'HARA, MICHAEL D.	1
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Timothy J. Neal	3731	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING from the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by story received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may b. criod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Milatute, cause the application to become	VICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status				
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1</u>	<u>0 July 2007</u> .		
2a)⊠ 1	his action is FINAL . 2b)☐ -	This action is non-final.		
•	Since this application is in condition for allow slosed in accordance with the practice und			is
Dispositio	n of Claims			.
4) × (Claim(s) <u>7-14</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
-	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>7-14</u> is/are rejected.			
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Applicatio	n Papers			
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			(d).
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
•	cknowledgment is made of a claim for fore] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	:
•	Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
. 2	Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in	Application No	
3	B.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee	en received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies n	ot received.	
			•	
Attachment(s)	_		
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the amendments filed on 7/10/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 7 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Berstein et al. (US 2003/0220297).

Berstein discloses a metallic stent (paragraph 141, 232, 234, and elsewhere in the disclosure), a polymeric matrix affixed to the surface of the stent (paragraph 123 and 235), and at least one radioprotective compound incorporated into the matrix (paragraphs 122, 142 and 143). Berstein also discloses the radioprotective compound containing sulfur (Paragraph 154) and an aminothol such as amiphostine (paragraph 122). The Examiner considers the device to be capable of eluting the radioprotective compound to only reach and protect local cells while not protecting proliferative cells.

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berstein '297 in view of Miller et al. (US 2003/0153983).

Berstein discloses the invention substantially as claimed above, but fails to teach a polymeric matrix comprising a fluoropolymer and an acrylic. However, Miller teaches a polymeric matrix comprising first and second polymers, wherein the first polymer comprises a fluoropolymer, and the second polymer comprises an acrylic (paragraph 38 and 58). Therefore, it is old and well know in the art and would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the stent with the matrix as disclosed by Berstein to include the fluoropolymer and acrylic as part of the matrix as taught by Miller. Such a composition would create a non-biodegradable, biocompatible matrix. Also, a second, outer layer helps control the release of the drugs/materials in the first, inner layer.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/10/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3731

The Applicant has argued that Berstein does not disclose a metallic stent. The Examiner disagrees. There are numerous references throughout the disclosure stating that the invention is used with metallic and non-metallic stents. Also, the Examiner notes that metallic stents with polymer coatings are old and well known in the art. The combination of Berstein and Miller has also been questioned. The Examiner notes that the use of the materials in Miller and the polymer bi-layer are properly combined with Berstein. Berstein suggests using multiple layers (paragraph 142) to control the release characteristics of the underlying drug. Modifying one reference by substituting materials from another reference to yield predictable results is considered obvious. The limitation regarding the elution of the radioprotective compound does not include any specific structure. The language is functional in nature and the prior art is considered capable of performing the claimed function. Froix has been removed because it specifically teaches the use of a plastic stent. The Examiner considers the rejections and remarks above to adequately address the arguments and amendments put forth by the Applicant.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/785,519 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Neal whose telephone number is (571) 272-0625. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on (571) 272-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TJN

Todd E. Monahan

Page 6

SPE 3731